

Categories, Functors and Natural Transformations

(Loosely based on “Basic Category Theory” by Tom Leinster.)

Categories

A category \mathcal{C} has two species: objects (usually denoted by capital letters) and morphisms (usually denoted by lower case letters). A morphism is a labelled arrow from one object to another and in this sense we can think of a category as a special kind of directed labelled graph. Objects and morphisms satisfy the following properties:

- Given an object A , there is a morphism $1_A : A \rightarrow A$
- Given morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$, we have a *composite* morphism $gf : A \rightarrow C$. (Sometimes we also denote this as $g \circ f$ to make the composition explicit.)
- The composition of morphisms is associative. Given morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$, $g : B \rightarrow C$ and $h : C \rightarrow D$, we have the identity of the composite morphisms $(hg)f = h(gf) : A \rightarrow D$.
- The morphisms 1_A and 1_B are right and left identities for morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$. In other words, we have $1_B \circ f = f = f \circ 1_A : A \rightarrow B$

Sets, Groups, Topological spaces

The category **Set** has objects as sets, morphisms as set maps and composition as composition of set maps. It is clear that this satisfies the properties given above.

The category **Grp** has objects as groups, morphisms as group homomorphisms. It is clear that this satisfies the properties given above.

The category **Top** has objects as topological spaces and morphisms as continuous maps. It is clear that this satisfies the properties given above.

We can similarly talk about the category **Ring** of rings (with identity), the category **Rng** of rings without identity and so on.

Constructions from Mathematical Objects

Given a set S we define a category S whose objects are elements of S and the only morphisms are the identity morphisms.

Given a group G , we can construct a category (which we can also denote as $G!$) which has a single object \bullet and morphisms from this object to itself are elements of the group.

Given a poset P (recall that this means that P is a set with a partial order \leq), we define a category P whose objects are elements of P and there is a unique arrow (morphism) from a to b if $a \leq b$.

In particular, for a topological space X we can consider the category associated with the poset $O(X)$ of open sets in X .

Special Categories

We can consider the category $\mathbf{1}$ which has a single object \bullet which has only the identity morphism. This is a special case of the constructions above for the singleton set, the singleton group, the singleton poset and the empty topological space!

We can consider the category $\mathbf{1}_{\rightarrow}$ which has a unique non-identity morphism between two distinct objects.

Given a category \mathcal{C} , we have the category \mathcal{C}^{opp} whose objects are the objects of \mathcal{C} , and morphisms are also those of \mathcal{C} but with direction of arrow reversed! We can define composition \diamond by writing $f \diamond g$ for gf . Note that $\mathbf{1}^{\text{opp}} = \mathbf{1}$.

Functors

A functor F from the category \mathcal{C} to the category \mathcal{D} assigns to each object A of \mathcal{C} an object $F(A)$ of \mathcal{D} , and to each morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} a morphism $F(f) : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ so that the following properties are satisfied:

- Given morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ of \mathcal{C} , we have $F(gf) = F(g)F(f) : F(A) \rightarrow F(C)$.
- We have $F(1_A) = 1_{F(A)} : F(A) \rightarrow F(A)$.

Forgetful functors

Since maps of groups or topological spaces are, in particular, set maps of the underlying sets, we obtain functors $\mathbf{Grp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ (respectively $\mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$) that take each group (respectively topological space) to the underlying set and each homomorphism (respectively continuous map) to the underlying map of sets.

We can do similar things with \mathbf{Ring} , \mathbf{Rng} and so on.

Constructions from Mathematical Objects

Given sets S and T and a set map $f : S \rightarrow T$ we can think of this as a map of the associated categories with objects as elements of the sets and only identity morphisms. Note that conversely, a functor between these categories is *determined* by a set map.

Similarly, we see that if $f : G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism of groups and we think of G and H as categories with single objects and morphisms as elements, then this gives a functor between these categories. Conversely, a functor between these categories is *precisely* a homomorphism of groups.

Again, if P and Q are posets thought of as categories, then order preserving morphisms from P to Q are precisely functors from the category associated with P to the category associated with Q .

The case for the category $O(X)$ of a topological space is more subtle in two ways. First of all, a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ gives an order preserving map $f^{-1} : O(Y) \rightarrow O(X)$ on the collection of opensets; the order is *reversed*. Secondly, an order preserving map $g : O(Y) \rightarrow O(X)$ *need not* be of the form $g = f^{-1}$ for some continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

Other functors

A functor from the category $\mathbf{1}$ to a category \mathcal{C} is determined by a choice of an object A in \mathcal{C} .

A functor from the category $\mathbf{1}_{\rightarrow}$ to a category \mathcal{C} is determined by a choice of an morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} . (Note that A and B *need not* be distinct objects in \mathcal{C} .)

A functor from the category \mathcal{C}^{opp} to a category \mathcal{D} is similar to a functor \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} *except* that arrows (morphisms) are reversed. In other words, this associates to an object A of \mathcal{C} an object $F(A)$ of \mathcal{D} , and it associates to a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} a morphism $F(f) : F(B) \rightarrow F(A)$ of \mathcal{D} . Such an F is often called a *contravariant* functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} . Note that it is *not* a particular type of functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} .

G -actions

A functor from the category G associated with a group to a category \mathcal{C} gives:

- An object A of \mathcal{C} which is associated to the single object of G .
- A morphism $\rho(g) : A \rightarrow A$ associated to an element g of G .

These need to satisfy the following conditions:

- The identity element of G maps to the identity morphism $1_A : A \rightarrow A$
- The composition $\rho(g) \circ \rho(h)$ should be associated to the element $g \cdot h$ of G , where the latter is the product of the elements g and h .

When \mathcal{C} is taken to be the category **Set** of sets, this is precisely the definition of a set A with a (left) action of G . Hence, more generally, such a object can be considered to be an object A of \mathcal{C} with a G -action. With this terminology, functors from G to \mathcal{C} correspond to objects with a given G -action.

Functors to Set

We often restrict our attention to categories such that morphisms between two objects form a set. For example, this is true for **Set** and thus also for **Grp** and **Top**. Note that in these categories, the collection of objects is *not* a set.

Given objects A and B in such a category \mathcal{C} , we use $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$, or sometimes $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$, to denote the set of morphisms from A to B . Note that composition is a set map

$$\circ : \mathcal{C}(B, C) \times \mathcal{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, C) \text{ given by } (g, f) \mapsto g \circ f$$

Fixing an object A of \mathcal{C} , we get a functor A_{\cdot} from \mathcal{C} to **Set** that associates, to an object B , the set $A_{\cdot}(B) = \mathcal{C}(A, B)$, and to a morphism $g : B \rightarrow C$, the set map

$$A_{\cdot}(g) = g \circ _ : \mathcal{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, C) \text{ given by } f \mapsto g \circ f$$

We also have a *contravariant* functor A^{\cdot} which associates, to an object B , the set $A^{\cdot}(B) = \mathcal{C}(B, A)$, and to a morphism $g : B \rightarrow C$, the set map

$$A^{\cdot}(g) = _ \circ g : \mathcal{C}(C, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B, A) \text{ given by } h \mapsto h \circ g$$

Note that this is a functor from \mathcal{C}^{opp} to **Set**.

Natural Transformations

It is said that *Category Theory* was invented by Eilenberg and Maclane in order to formalise the intuitive notion of “natural” transformations as detailed below.

Given functors F and G from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} , a natural transformation $\eta : F \rightarrow G$ gives, for each object A of \mathcal{C} , a morphism $\eta_A : F(A) \rightarrow G(A)$, and for each morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & G(A) \\ \downarrow F(f) & & \downarrow G(f) \\ F(B) & \xrightarrow{\eta_B} & G(B) \end{array}$$

Recall that a diagram is said to be commutative if any path following the arrows from one vertex to another gives the *same* morphism. In this case, this is another way of saying that $\eta_B \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \eta_A$.

Morphisms of \mathcal{C} as natural transformations

As seen above, an object A of \mathcal{C} can be seen as a functor $\mathbf{1}$ to \mathcal{C} that assigns, to the unique object of $\mathbf{1}$, the object A of \mathcal{C} and to the unique (identity) map of $\mathbf{1}$, the identity morphism 1_A .

A morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ can then be seen as a natural transformation from the functor associated to A to the functor associated to B . This natural transformation assigns, to the unique object of $\mathbf{1}$, the morphism f . The commutativity of the above diagram is then the standard identity relation $f \circ 1_A = 1_B \circ f$.

Conversely, a natural transformation between these functors gives a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ and the functor is *precisely* the one associated with this morphism.

G -equivariant maps as natural transformations

As seen above, a set S with a left action of a group G can be viewed as a functor from the category associated with G to the category **Set** that associates to the unique object of G , the set S , and to an element g in G , the left-action $\rho(g) : S \rightarrow S$ considered as a (bijective) map of sets.

Suppose that another set T has the action given by $\tau(g) : T \rightarrow T$ for g in G .

A natural transformation between such functors associated with S and T is, in particular, a set map $f : S \rightarrow T$. In *addition*, the commutativity of the above digram means that $\tau(g) \circ f = f \circ \rho(g)$. This is *precisely* the condition that the map $f : S \rightarrow T$ is G -equivariant. Writing the action as left multiplication without ρ and τ , this equation becomes $f(g \cdot s) = g \cdot f(s)$.

Natural Transformations for the “dot” Functors to Sets

Given a category \mathcal{C} where the morphisms between objects form sets, we introduced the functor A_\cdot from \mathcal{C} to **Set** and the functor A^\cdot from \mathcal{C}^{opp} to **Set**.

A natural transformation $A_\cdot \rightarrow B_\cdot$, gives in particular, a set map $A_\cdot(A) = \mathcal{C}(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B, A) = B_\cdot(A)$. The image of the identity element 1_A in $\mathcal{C}(A, A)$ gives a morphism $f : B \rightarrow A$ associated to this natural transformation. The above commutative diagram can then be used to show that this set map is *precisely* $h \mapsto h \circ f$.

Conversely, given a morphism $f : B \rightarrow A$, we can give, for each object C , the set map

$$A_\cdot(C) = \mathcal{C}(A, C) \rightarrow B_\cdot(C) = \mathcal{C}(B, C) \text{ given by } h \mapsto h \circ f$$

The associative law for composition can be used to show that this yields a commutative diagram as required. In fact, this construction *identifies* natural transformations $A_\cdot \rightarrow B_\cdot$ with morphisms $B \rightarrow A$.

Similarly, one can show that a natural transformation $A^\cdot \rightarrow B^\cdot$ can be identified with a morphism $A \rightarrow B$.

The above statements are versions of the Yoneda lemma which we shall explain a little later.