

- 7.5. Show that if A, B are modules over a principal ideal domain and if $a \in A$, $b \in B$ are not torsion elements then $a \otimes b \neq 0$ in $A \otimes_A B$ and is not a torsion element.
- 7.6. Show that if A is a finitely generated module over a principal ideal domain and if $A \otimes_A A = 0$, then $A = 0$. Give an example of an abelian group $G \neq 0$ such that $G \otimes G = 0$.
- 7.7. Let $A \times B$ be the cartesian product of the sets underlying the right A -module A and the left A -module B . For G an abelian group call a function $f: A \times B \rightarrow G$ *bilinear* if

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_1 + a_2, b) &= f(a_1, b) + f(a_2, b), \quad a_1, a_2 \in A, \quad b \in B; \\ f(a, b_1 + b_2) &= f(a, b_1) + f(a, b_2), \quad a \in A, \quad b_1, b_2 \in B; \\ f(a\lambda, b) &= f(a, \lambda b), \quad a \in A, \quad b \in B, \quad \lambda \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Show that the tensor product has the following universal property. To every abelian group G and to every bilinear map $f: A \times B \rightarrow G$ there exists a unique homomorphism of abelian groups

$$g: A \otimes_A B \rightarrow G \quad \text{such that} \quad f(a, b) = g(a \otimes b).$$

- 7.8. Show that an associative algebra (with unity) over the commutative ring A may be defined as follows. An algebra A is a A -module together with A -module homomorphisms $\mu: A \otimes_A A \rightarrow A$ and $\eta: A \rightarrow A$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_A A \xrightarrow{\sim} A \xleftarrow{\sim} A \otimes_A A & A \otimes_A A \otimes_A A \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} A \otimes_A A & \\ \eta \otimes 1 \downarrow & \parallel & \downarrow 1 \otimes \eta \\ A \otimes_A A \xrightarrow{\mu} A \xleftarrow{\mu} A \otimes_A A & A \otimes_A A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu} A \otimes_A A & \downarrow \mu \\ & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A \end{array}$$

(The first diagram shows that $\eta(1_A)$ is a left and a right unity for A , while the second diagram yields associativity of the product.) Show that if A and B are algebras over A then $A \otimes_A B$ may naturally be made into an algebra over A .

- 7.9. An algebra A over A is called *augmented* if a homomorphism $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow A$ of algebras is given. Show that the group algebra KG is augmented with $\varepsilon: KG \rightarrow K$ defined by $\varepsilon(x) = 1$, $x \in G$. Give other examples of augmented algebras.

8. The Functor Tor

Let A be a right A -module and let B be a left A -module. Given a projective presentation $R \xrightarrow{\mu} P \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A$ of A we define

$$\text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B) = \ker(\mu_*: R \otimes_A B \rightarrow P \otimes_A B).$$

The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B) \rightarrow R \otimes_A B \rightarrow P \otimes_A B \rightarrow A \otimes_A B \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Obviously we can make $\text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, -)$ into a covariant functor by defining, for a map $\beta: B \rightarrow B'$, the associated map

$$\beta_*: \text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B')$$

to be the homomorphism induced by $\beta_*: R \otimes_A B \rightarrow R \otimes_A B'$. To any projective presentation $S \xrightarrow{v} Q \xrightarrow{w} B$ of B we define

$$\overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B) = \ker(v_*: A \otimes_A S \rightarrow A \otimes_A Q).$$

With this definition the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B) \rightarrow A \otimes_A S \rightarrow A \otimes_A Q \rightarrow A \otimes_A B \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Clearly, given a homomorphism $\alpha: A \rightarrow A'$, we can associate a homomorphism $\alpha_*: \overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^{A'}(A', B)$, which is induced by $\alpha_*: A \otimes_A S \rightarrow A' \otimes_{A'} S$. With this definition $\overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(-, B)$ is a covariant functor.

Proposition 8.1. *If A (or B) is projective, then*

$$\text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B) = 0 = \overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B).$$

Proof. Since A is projective, the short exact sequence $R \xrightarrow{\mu} P \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A$ splits, i.e. there is $\kappa: P \rightarrow R$ with $\kappa\mu = 1_R$. Hence

$$\kappa\mu \otimes 1 = (\kappa \otimes 1)(\mu \otimes 1) = 1_{R \otimes_A B},$$

and consequently $\mu \otimes 1$ is monomorphic. Thus $\text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B) = 0$.

If A is projective, A is flat by Proposition 7.4. Hence

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes_A S \rightarrow A \otimes_A Q \rightarrow A \otimes_A B \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus $\overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B) = 0$. The remaining assertions merely interchange left and right. \square

Next we will use Lemma 5.1 to show that $\overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A$ and Tor_ε^A denote the same functor. Again let $R \xrightarrow{\mu} P \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A$ and $S \xrightarrow{v} Q \xrightarrow{w} B$ be projective presentations. We then construct the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B) \\
 & & & & \downarrow & \Sigma_5 & \downarrow \\
 & & & & R \otimes_A S & \longrightarrow & R \otimes_A Q \longrightarrow R \otimes_A B \\
 & & & & \downarrow & \Sigma_3 & \downarrow & \Sigma_4 & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & \longrightarrow & P \otimes_A S & \longrightarrow & P \otimes_A Q & \longrightarrow & P \otimes_A B \\
 & & & & \downarrow & \Sigma_1 & \downarrow & \Sigma_2 & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B) & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_A S & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_A Q & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_A B
 \end{array} \tag{8.1}$$

By a repeated application of Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$\overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B) = \text{Im } \Sigma_1 \cong \text{Ker } \Sigma_2 \cong \text{Im } \Sigma_3 \cong \text{Ker } \Sigma_4 \cong \text{Im } \Sigma_5 = \text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B).$$

Now let $R' \xrightarrow{\mu'} P' \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} A'$ be a projective presentation of A' and $\alpha: A \rightarrow A'$ a homomorphism. We can then find $\varphi: P \rightarrow P'$ and $\psi: R \rightarrow R'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R & \xrightarrow{\mu} & P & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & A \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \alpha \\ R' & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & P' & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} & A' \end{array} \quad (8.2)$$

These homomorphisms induce a map from the diagram (8.1) into the diagram corresponding to the presentation $R' \rightarrow P' \rightarrow A'$. Consequently we obtain a homomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A', B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tor}_{\varepsilon'}^A(A', B)$$

which is visibly independent of the choice of φ in (8.2). Choosing $\alpha = 1_A$ we obtain an isomorphism $\text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tor}_{\varepsilon'}^A(A, B)$.

Collecting the information obtained, we have shown that there is a natural equivalence between the functors $\text{Tor}_\varepsilon^A(A, -)$ and $\text{Tor}_{\varepsilon'}^A(A, -)$, that we therefore can drop the subscript ε , writing $\text{Tor}^A(A, -)$ from now on; further that $\text{Tor}^A(-, B)$ can be made into a functor, which is equivalent to $\overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(-, B)$ for any η . We thus can use the notation $\text{Tor}^A(A, B)$ for $\overline{\text{Tor}}_\eta^A(A, B)$, also. We finally leave it to the reader to show that $\text{Tor}^A(-, -)$ is a bifunctor. The fact that $\text{Tor}^A(-, -)$ coincides with $\overline{\text{Tor}}^A(-, -)$ is sometimes expressed by saying that Tor is *balanced*.

Similarly to Theorems 5.2 and 5.3, one obtains

Theorem 8.2. *Let A be a right Λ -module and $B' \xrightarrow{\kappa} B \xrightarrow{\nu} B''$ an exact sequence of left Λ -modules, then there exists a connecting homomorphism $\omega: \text{Tor}^A(A, B'') \rightarrow A \otimes_\Lambda B'$ such that the following sequence is exact:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Tor}^A(A, B') & \xrightarrow{\kappa_*} & \text{Tor}^A(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\nu_*} & \text{Tor}^A(A, B'') & \xrightarrow{\omega} & A \otimes_\Lambda B' \\ & & & & & & \xrightarrow{\kappa_*} A \otimes_\Lambda B \xrightarrow{\nu_*} A \otimes_\Lambda B'' \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (8.3)$$

Theorem 8.3. *Let B be a left Λ -module and let $A' \xrightarrow{\kappa} A \xrightarrow{\nu} A''$ be an exact sequence of right Λ -modules. Then there exists a connecting homomorphism $\omega: \text{Tor}^A(A'', B) \rightarrow A' \otimes_\Lambda B$ such that the following sequence is exact:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Tor}^A(A', B) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_*} & \text{Tor}^A(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\nu_*} & \text{Tor}^A(A'', B) & \xrightarrow{\omega} & A' \otimes_\Lambda B \\ & & & & & & \xrightarrow{\kappa_*} A \otimes_\Lambda B \xrightarrow{\nu_*} A'' \otimes_\Lambda B \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (8.4)$$

Proof. We only prove Theorem 8.2; the proof of Theorem 8.3 may be obtained by replacing Tor by $\overline{\text{Tor}}$. Consider the projective presentation

$R \xrightarrow{\mu} P \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A$ and construct the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Tor}^A(A, B') & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}^A(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}^A(A, B) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 R \otimes_A B' & \longrightarrow & R \otimes_A B & \longrightarrow & R \otimes_A B'' \rightarrow 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 \rightarrow P \otimes_A B' & \longrightarrow & P \otimes_A B & \longrightarrow & P \otimes_A B'' \rightarrow 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A \otimes_A B' & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_A B & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_A B''
 \end{array} \tag{8.5}$$

By applying Lemma 5.1 we obtain the asserted sequence. \square

We remark that like the Hom-Ext sequences the sequences (8.3) and (8.4) are natural. Notice that by contrast with the two sequences involving Ext we obtain only *one* kind of sequence involving Tor, since A, B play symmetric roles in the definition of Tor.

Corollary 8.4. *Let A be a principal ideal domain. Then the homomorphisms $\kappa_* : \text{Tor}^A(A, B') \rightarrow \text{Tor}^A(A, B)$ in sequence (8.3) and*

$\kappa_ : \text{Tor}^A(A', B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}^A(A, B)$ in sequence (8.4) are monomorphic.*

Proof. By Corollary I.5.3 R is a projective right A -module, hence the map $\kappa_* : R \otimes_A B' \rightarrow R \otimes_A B$ in diagram (8.5) is monomorphic, whence the first assertion. Analogously one obtains the second assertion. \square

Exercises:

- 8.1. Show that, if A (or B) is flat, then $\text{Tor}^A(A, B) = 0$.
- 8.2. Evaluate the exact sequences (8.3), (8.4) for the examples given in Exercise 5.7 (i), ..., (v).
- 8.3. Show that if A is a torsion group then $A \cong \text{Tor}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$; and that, in general, $\text{Tor}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ embeds naturally as a subgroup of A . Identify this subgroup.
- 8.4. Show that if A and B are abelian groups and if $T(A), T(B)$ are their torsion subgroups, then

$$\text{Tor}(A, B) \cong \text{Tor}(T(A), T(B)).$$

Show that $m \text{Tor}(A, B) = 0$ if $m T(A) = 0$.

- 8.5. Show that Tor is additive in each variable.
- 8.6. Show that Tor respects direct limits over directed sets.
- 8.7. Show that the abelian group A is flat if and only if it is torsion-free.
- 8.8. Show that A' is pure in A if and only if $A' \otimes G \rightarrow A \otimes G$ is a monomorphism for all G (see Exercise I.1.7).
- 8.9. Show that $\text{Tor}^A(A, B)$ can be computed using a flat presentation of A ; that is, if $R \xrightarrow{\mu} P \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} A$ with P flat, then

$$\text{Tor}^A(A, B) \cong \ker(\mu_* : R \otimes_A B \rightarrow P \otimes_A B).$$