

# Topological space of a Scheme

## MTH437 — Introduction to Schemes

Kapil Hari Paranjape

IISER Mohali

8th November 2021

## Simultaneous eigenvalues

Given a commuting collection  $a_1, \dots, a_p$  of operators on a vector space  $V$  over a field  $F$ .

This amounts to giving a homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p] \rightarrow \text{End}_F(V)$  of rings defined by  $x_i \mapsto a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Note that  $\text{End}_F(V)$  is not necessarily commutative, but the image of a commutative ring under a homomorphism is commutative.

A *simultaneous* eigenvector of these operators is a non-zero vector  $v \in V$  such that  $a_i v = \lambda_i v$  with  $\lambda_i \in F$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

In particular, this corresponds to a homomorphism  $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p] \rightarrow F$  given by  $x_i \mapsto \lambda_i$ .

The kernel of  $\lambda$  is a *prime* ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p]$  since  $F$  is a domain.

Conversely, given a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ , the quotient ring is a domain.

Take  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to be the field of fractions of the domain  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p]/\mathfrak{p}$ .

We have a natural homomorphism  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p] \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Clearly, the  $x_i$  operates on the (one-dimensional) vector space  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  via its image  $a_i = \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i)$  in  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

So we can think of  $1 \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as a “simultaneous eigenvector” of the operators  $x_j$  with the action  $x_j \cdot 1 = a_j \cdot 1$ .

# Spectrum

More generally, if  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p] / \langle f_1, \dots, f_q \rangle$  is a finitely presented ring, then homomorphisms from it to a field  $F$  correspond to simultaneous eigenvalues for a collection of commuting operators that satisfy the given polynomials.

Simultaneous eigenvalues of the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p] / \langle f_1, \dots, f_q \rangle$  are points of the “spectrum” of this collection.

On the other hand, if  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_p] \rightarrow F$  is a homomorphism with  $x_i \mapsto a_i$  where *at least one* of  $f_j(\mathbf{a})$  is a *unit* then we see that  $\mathbf{a}$  is *not* in the spectrum of  $R$ .

This motivates us to *define* the (algebraic) spectrum of  $R$  to be the collection of prime ideals in  $R$ .

For a commutative ring  $R$ , we denote by  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , the collection of prime ideals in  $R$ .

If  $f : R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal in  $S$ , then  $S/\mathfrak{p}$  is a domain.

Thus, the kernel  $\mathfrak{q} = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  of  $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{p}$  is *also* a prime ideal in  $R$ .

This gives a set map  $f^* : \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  which sends  $\mathfrak{p}$  to  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ .

Hence,  $\text{Spec}$  defines a *contravariant* functor **CRing** to **Set**.

Note that  $\{0\}$  has *no* prime ideal so  $\text{Spec}(\{0\})$  is the empty set.

If  $g$  is an element of  $R$ , then prime ideals in  $R_g$  can be identified with prime ideals in  $R$  that *do not contain*  $g$ .

This gives an inclusion  $\text{Spec}(R_g) \subset \text{Spec}(R)$  via the natural map  $R \rightarrow R_g$ .

If  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ , the prime ideals in  $R/I$  can be identified with prime ideals in  $R$  that contain  $I$ .

This gives an inclusion  $\text{Spec}(R/I) \subset \text{Spec}(R)$  via the natural map  $R \rightarrow R/I$ .

# Zariski Topology

Let us define a subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  of the form  $\text{Spec}(R/I)$  to be a *closed* subset.

Given ideals  $I$  and  $J$ , one sees that if a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains  $I \cap J$ , then

- ▶ Either  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains  $I$ , or
- ▶ There is an element  $a \in I \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , and then for every  $b \in J$ ,  $ab \in I \cap J \subset \mathfrak{p}$  so that  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

It follows that  $\text{Spec}(R/I) \cup \text{Spec}(R/J) = \text{Spec}(R/(I \cap J))$ .

In other words, the union of closed subsets in  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is closed. as required.

Suppose that  $(I_\alpha)$  is a collection of ideals in  $R$ .

A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains *each*  $I_\alpha$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  contains  $J = \sum_\alpha I_\alpha$ .

In other words, the intersection of the closed sets  $\text{Spec}(R/I_\alpha)$  in  $\text{Spec}(R)$  is the closed set  $\text{Spec}(R/J)$ , as required.

Thus, this definition of closed sets defines a topology on  $\text{Spec}(R)$  called the *Zariski Topology* on  $R$ .

We use the notation  $\text{Spec}(R)$  to refer to this topological space by abuse of notation.



## Open sets

If  $g$  is an element of  $R$ , then  $\text{Spec}(R_g) \subset \text{Spec}(R)$  is the complement of the closed set  $\text{Spec}(R/\langle g \rangle)$ .

Thus, it  $\text{Spec}(R_g)$  is an *open* subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

We now see that sets of this form are a “basis” for open sets in the sense that every open set is a union of these.

More generally, if  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$ , then  $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \text{Spec}(R/I)$  is the collection of prime ideals that *do not contain*  $I$ .

For each such prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ , there is an element  $g$  in  $I \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .

It follows that  $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \text{Spec}(R/I)$  is the *union* of the (basic) open sets  $\text{Spec}(R_g)$  as  $g$  varies over elements of  $I \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .

## Homomorphisms and continuity

Given a ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$ , we have seen that there is a map  $f^* : \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  which sends a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $S$  to  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  in  $R$ .

We now check that this is continuous.

Given  $\text{Spec}(R/I)$  a closed set in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . The prime  $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$  lies in  $\text{Spec}(R/I)$  if  $I \subset f^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ .

This is equivalent to saying the  $f(I) \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Which is equivalent to saying that  $f(I)S \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , since the latter is an ideal in  $S$ .

Thus,  $f^*(\mathfrak{p})$  lies in  $\text{Spec}(R/I)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  lies in  $\text{Spec}(S/f(I)S)$ .

In other words,  $(f^*)^{-1}(\text{Spec}(R/I)) = \text{Spec}(S/f(I)S)$  which is a closed set in  $\text{Spec}(S)$ .

## The functor $\sigma$

Note that if  $U = \text{Sp}(R)$  is the (affine scheme) functor  $\mathbf{CRing}$  to  $\mathbf{Set}$  which sends a ring  $T$  to the set  $\text{Hom}(R, T)$ , then

$$R = \mathcal{O}(U) = \text{Hom}(U, \mathbb{A}^1)$$

For an affine scheme  $U$  we define  $\sigma(U) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(U))$ .

If  $U = \text{Sp}(R)$  and  $V = \text{Sp}(S)$ , then morphisms (natural transformations)  $V \rightarrow U$  are identified with ring homomorphisms  $R \rightarrow S$ .

We have shown that  $f^* : \sigma(V) = \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \sigma(U) = \text{Spec}(R)$  is continuous.

Thus, we have a functor  $\sigma$  from affine schemes to topological spaces.

We now extend this functor to other schemes.

## Extension to separated Schemes

Suppose  $X$  is a separated scheme defined by patching

$$E = \sqcup_{i,j} V_{i,j} \rightrightarrows U = \sqcup_i U_i$$

where  $U_i$  are affine schemes and  $V_{i,j} \subset U_i \times U_j$  a closed subscheme (and thus affine) such that the projection maps  $V_{i,j} \rightarrow U_i$  are open subschemes.

We then get maps of topological spaces

$$E' = \sqcup_{i,j} \sigma(V^{(i,j)}) \rightrightarrows U' \sqcup_i \sigma(U^{(i)})$$

Moreover, we claim that these maps define an *open equivalence relation* on the topological space  $U'$ .

- ▶ In other words,  $E'$  is a *subset* of  $U'$  and defines an equivalence relation on it.
- ▶ The map  $\pi_1 : E' \rightarrow U'$  is an *open continuous map*.

It then follows that there is a natural *quotient topology* on  $U'/E'$ .

To prove the claim, we note that the morphism of affine schemes  $V_{i,j} \rightarrow U_i$  makes the former an open subscheme.

From the previous discussion, this means that  $\sigma(V_{i,j}) \rightarrow \sigma(U_i)$  is an *open subset*.

In particular, this is an open map. Hence,  $\pi_1 : E' \rightarrow U'$  is open and continuous.

Moreover,  $\sigma(V_{i,j}) \rightarrow \sigma(U_i) \times \sigma(U_j)$  is an inclusion. Thus,  $E' \rightarrow U' \times U'$  is an inclusion.

The fact that  $E \rightarrow U \times U$  is an equivalence relation implies that  $E' \rightarrow U' \times U'$  is also an equivalence relation. (As usual, one only needs to check transitivity.)

The claim thus follows.

We define  $\sigma(X)$  to be this topological space associated with a scheme  $X$ .

## Continuity of morphisms

Every point of  $\sigma(X)$  lies in an open set of the form  $\text{Spec}(R^{(i)})$  for some  $i$  in this definition.

Note that continuity of maps is a *local* property.

It follows that a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  of separated schemes gives a continuous map  $\sigma(X) \rightarrow \sigma(Y)$  of the underlying topological spaces.

Thus  $\sigma$  is a functor **SScheme** to **Top** where the former is the category of separated schemes and the latter is the category of topological spaces.

## General schemes

We now expand the definition of  $\sigma$  to *all* schemes—not necessarily separated.

Such a scheme  $X$  can again be described by patching

$$E = \sqcup_{i,j} V_{i,j} \rightrightarrows U = \sqcup_i U_i$$

where  $U_i$  are affine schemes and  $V_{i,j} \subset U_i \times U_j$  a subscheme (not necessarily closed) such that the projection maps  $V_{i,j} \rightarrow U_i$  are open subschemes.

This means that  $V_{i,j}$  are *quasi-affine* schemes.

Once we extend the definition of  $\sigma$  to such schemes, the rest of the argument above can be repeated in this case as well.

## $\sigma$ for Quasi-affine schemes

Given a commutative ring  $R$  and an ideal  $I$ , we defined the quasi-affine scheme  $Q(R, I)$  as a functor **CRing** to **Set**.

The set  $Q(R, I)(T)$  consists of homomorphisms  $R \rightarrow T$  where the image of  $I$  generates the unit ideal in  $T$ .

As seen earlier, we can write  $Q(R, I)$  as the sheaf-theoretic union of the affine subschemes  $\text{Sp}(R_g)$  as  $g$  varies over elements of  $I$ .

In particular, we note that  $\sigma(Q(R, I))$  is isomorphic to the open subspace  $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \text{Spec}(R/I)$  of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

Using this one can extend  $\sigma$  as a functor **Scheme** to **Set** by writing schemes of quotients of quasi-affine schemes.