

# Kernel, image and exactness

## MTH437 — Introduction to Schemes

Kapil Hari Paranjape

IISER Mohali

25th October 2021

## Recall

In the previous lecture we showed how  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine schemes can be patched to give a sheaf functor.

Strictly speaking, what we have been calling a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme is actually a  *$\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme of finite type*.

A  *$\mathbb{Z}$ -scheme of finite type* is a sheaf functor obtained by patching from  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine schemes of finite type as described in the previous lecture.

We now provide a slightly different description of this process of patching using the notion of kernel, image and exactness for sheaf functors.

## Kernel equivalence relation is a sheaf

Given a morphism  $\eta : F \rightarrow G$  of sheaf functors **CRing** to **Set**, we have defined

$$E(R) = \{(f, f') \in F(R) : \eta_R(f) = \eta_R(f') \text{ in } G(R)\}$$

This gives a functor  $E$  from **CRing** to **Set** with *two* natural transformations  $\pi_i : E \rightarrow F$  for  $i = 1, 2$  corresponding to the two projections.

We can think of  $E(R)$  as the “set-theoretic” kernel (or kernel pair) of  $\eta_R$ . We also denote the functor  $E$  as  $\ker \eta$ .

Let us check that  $E$  is a sheaf. Note that  $E \subset F \times F$  and the latter *is* a sheaf.

Given a ring  $R$  and elements  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  generating the unit ideal in  $R$ .

Suppose  $(f_i, f'_i)$  are elements of  $E(R_{u_i})$ .

The patching condition says that  $(f_i, f'_i)$  gives the same element as  $(f_j, f'_j)$  in  $E(R_{u_i u_j}) \subset F(R_{u_i u_j})^2$ .

There are unique elements  $f$  and  $f'$  in  $F(R)$  which map to  $f_i$  and  $f'_i$  (respectively) in  $F(R_{u_i})$ .

Let  $g$  (respectively  $g'$ ) be the image of  $f$  (respectively  $f'$ ) in  $G(R)$ .

In order to show that  $(f, f')$  lies in  $E(R)$ , we wish to show that  $g = g'$ .

By assumption the image  $g_i$  of  $g$  in  $G(R_{u_i})$  is also the image of  $f_i$ . Similarly, the image  $g'_i$  of  $g'$  is also the image of  $f'_i$ .

Since  $(f_i, f'_i)$  lies in  $E(R_{u_i})$  we see that  $g_i = g'_i$  in  $G(R_{u_i})$ .

By the sheaf property of  $G$ , we see that  $g = g'$  is the *unique* element patching the tuple  $(g_i) = (g'_i)$ .

This shows that  $(f, f')$  is in  $E(R)$  as required. Hence  $\ker \eta$  is a sheaf.

## Image of a morphism of sheaves

Given a natural transformation (morphism)  $\eta : F \rightarrow G$  of sheaf functors from **CRing** to **Set** what is the *image* sheaf  $\text{im } \eta$ ?

Naively, one might take the image of  $\eta_R : F(R) \rightarrow G(R)$  for every ring  $R$ .

However, our examples from patching show that more refined approach is required!

Recall how  $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  is written as the sheaf-theoretic union of  $U_1 = A(x, y, u; ux - 1)$  and  $U_2 = A(x, y, v; vy - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{A}^2$ .

In this case, an  $R$ -point of  $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  is a pair  $(a, b)$  in  $R^2$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle = R$  is the unit ideal in  $R$ .

It *need not* be the case that  $a$  or  $b$  is a *unit* in  $R$ .

What we *do* have is that  $(a, b)$  gives an  $R_a$ -point of  $U_1$  and an  $R_b$ -point of  $U_2$ .

This is how we express  $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  as the *image* of  $U_1 \sqcup U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ .

This suggests that we declare the *sheaf-theoretic image*  $\text{im } \eta$  of a morphism  $\eta : F \rightarrow G$  of sheaves as follows.

$\text{im } \eta(R)$  consists of  $R$ -points  $g \in G(R)$  such that there are elements  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  of  $R$  generating the unit ideal in it and points  $f_i \in F(R_{u_i})$  such that the image of  $f_i$  in  $G(R_{u_i})$  is the same as the image of  $g$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .



Conversely, given  $f_i \in F(R_{u_i})$ , suppose that  $g_{i,j}$  is the image in  $G(R_{u_i u_j})$  under the composite  $F(R_{u_i}) \rightarrow G(R_{u_i}) \rightarrow G(R_{u_i u_j})$ .

If  $g_{i,j} = g_{j,i}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , then the images  $g_i \in G(R_{u_i})$  satisfy the patching condition for an  $R$ -point of the sheaf  $G$ .

Hence, there is a *unique* element  $g \in G(R)$  which gives  $g_i$  in  $G(R_{u_i})$ .

We say that the morphism  $\eta$  is *onto* or *surjective* if  $\text{im } \eta = G$ .

In other words, for every  $g \in G(R)$ :

*There are elements  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  of  $R$  generating the unit ideal in it and points  $f_i \in F(R_{u_i})$  such that the image of  $f_i$  in  $G(R_{u_i})$  is the same as the image of  $g$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .*

This is the condition for  $\eta$  to be *onto*.

## Sheaf quotient by an equivalence relation

Given a sheaf  $F$  and a sheaf equivalence relation  $E \subset F \times F$  as above, one can construct the sheaf quotient  $F/E$  in a manner similar to the previous lecture.

Given a ring  $R$  patching data  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f})$  for an element of  $(F/E)(R)$  are given as follows:

- ▶ We have  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  elements of  $R$  that generate the unit ideal in  $R$ .
- ▶ We have elements  $f_i$  in  $F(R_{u_i})$  for each  $i$ .
- ▶ The pair  $(f_i, f_j)$  lies in  $E(R_{u_i u_j})$  for each  $i$  and  $j$ .

We wish to define  $(F/E)(R)$  as the quotient of patching data under an equivalence as defined below.

Given *another* set of elements  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  in  $R$  such that  $\langle v_1, \dots, v_m \rangle = R$ , we note that if we define  $w_{i,j} = u_i v_j$ , then the collection of  $w_{i,j}$  *also* generate the unit ideal in  $R$ .

Let  $f'_{i,j}$  be the image of  $f_i$  via the set map  $F(R_{u_i}) \rightarrow F(R_{w_{i,j}})$ .

We say that  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{f}')$  is a refinement of the patching data  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f})$  using the tuple  $\mathbf{v}$ .

Given two patching data  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f})$  and  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g})$  we can form:

- ▶ the refinement  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{f}')$  of  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f})$  using the tuple  $\mathbf{v}$ .
- ▶ the refinement  $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{g}')$  of  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g})$  using the tuple  $\mathbf{u}$ .

Note that  $w_{i,j} = u_i v_j$  are the same in both refinements.

We declare  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f}) \sim (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g})$  if  $(f'_{i,j}, g'_{i,j})$  lie in  $E(R_{w_{i,j}})$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

# Exactness

- ▶ Given a natural transformation  $\eta : F \rightarrow G$
- ▶ There is an *image* sheaf  $\text{im } \eta$  with a factoring of  $\eta$  as  $F \rightarrow \text{im } \eta \rightarrow G$ .
- ▶ There is a *kernel pair* sheaf  $\text{ker } \eta$  with a pair of morphisms  $\text{ker } \eta \rightrightarrows F$  which gives an equivalence relation on  $F(R)$  for each ring  $R$ .

The *quotient* by the equivalence relation can be constructed as was done above.

One checks that the quotient of  $F$  by  $\text{ker } \eta$  is *precisely*  $\text{im } \eta$ .

## Affine open cover

Given a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme  $X = A(x_1, \dots, x_p; f_1, \dots, f_q)$ , we have defined an affine open subscheme to be a subscheme given by

$X_g = A(x_1, \dots, x_p, v; f_1, \dots, f_q, vg - 1)$  for some  $g$ .

If  $g$  and  $g'$  are polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ , that are equal in  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ , then it is clear that  $X_g = X_{g'}$  in a natural way.

Hence, by abuse of notation, we consider  $g$  as an element of  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ .

Given a collection  $(g_i)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ , the disjoint union  $\sqcup_i X_{g_i}$  is called an *affine open cover* of  $X$ .

When there are finitely many  $i$  (as is usually the case), we have seen that  $\sqcup_i X_{g_i}$  is also a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme.

## Scheme as a Quotient

As seen in the lecture on patching, a scheme  $F$  is a quotient of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme.

In other words, we are given an *onto* morphism  $\eta : X \rightarrow F$  of sheaf functors where  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme.

In that case,  $F$  is the *quotient* of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme  $X$  by the equivalence relation  $E = \ker \eta$ .

For  $F$  to be a scheme, we require some *additional* conditions on  $E$ .



1.  $E$  should itself be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme.
2.  $E \rightrightarrows X$  is an affine open cover (under both morphisms).

**Warning:** The above two *conditions* are necessary *but not sufficient* for this to be the description of a scheme.

This was an **error** in the previous version of the slides and in the lecture!

The *additional* condition required is that  $E = \sqcup_{i,j=1}^n V_{i,j}$  and  $X = \sqcup_{i=1}^n U_i$  so that the morphism  $E \rightarrow X \times X$  is made up of  $V_{i,j} \rightarrow U_i \times U_j$ .