Why do we need R to be commutative to make sense of evaluating f at a specific p-tuple?

Anunov

What I feel like about the commutativity of R is that since the underlying polynomials are from a polynomial ring over the set of integers and we want to see the elements of X(R) as elements of the kernel of some evaluation maps, these maps must be defined as a ring homomorphism, requiring the ring R to be commutative.

 $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}$ a b neck not be equal to b a $x^{5}y^{7} \xrightarrow{3} x^{9}y^{6}x y a^{5}b^{7} \xrightarrow{?} a^{4}b^{6}a b$ $Non-commutative polynomial sizes
<math display="block">x_{1}y_{1}z \qquad Sequence \int_{1}^{n} bon b^{0}b \xrightarrow{1} x_{1}y_{1}z$ $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{U} \times \oplus \overline{\mathcal{U}} y \oplus \overline{\mathcal{U}} z \oplus \overline{\mathcal{U}} \times y \oplus \overline{\mathcal{U}} \times z^{2} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{U}} y_{2} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{U}} y_{2}$

 $\begin{array}{c} X \longrightarrow G \\ Y \longrightarrow J \\$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
(O(x) \rightarrow R \\
\hline Z([x_1,..,x_p] \rightarrow R \\
\hline \langle f \cdot \rangle \cdot \langle f \rangle \\
\hline & \chi_i \rightarrow R_1 \\
\hline & \eta_1 \cdot \cdot \rangle a_p rmn^{1} \\
\hline & \eta_1 \cdot \cdot \rangle a_p rmn^{1} \\
\hline & mhn. \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & \\$$

K.







Prakan ho: S-SRui Con he rive Rings of Junch. CRing ~r Set S is the schene "Spec (5)" $\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow X(\mathcal{R}) = Hom \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X(\mathcal{R}) \right)$ is not ZI-affine Sid aling $S = IR , (IR(x, y]/(x^2 + y^2 + y))$ $\mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{m}(S, \mathcal{R}) = S'(\mathcal{R})$ S = C, Spec $Spec(\mathbb{R})$, $Spec(\mathbb{C})$ $R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \longrightarrow Hom(S, R_1) = S(R_1)$ $\begin{array}{c|c} S \rightarrow R_{1} \\ \hline \\ Hom(S, R_{2}) = S(R_{2}) \end{array}$ C Ring ~~> Set Aly ling gives a functor CRing-~>Set which satisfy pathing. Satisfico Patchiz. "Local" condr- $\begin{array}{c} S'(R) \longrightarrow S'(R_{u_i}) \longrightarrow S'(R_{u_i n_j}) \\ (G_i) & (R_i) \longrightarrow (G_i \cdot R_i) \\ (g_i) & (R_i) \longrightarrow (G_i \cdot R_i) \\ (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) \\ (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) \\ (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) \\ (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) \\ (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) \\ (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) & (g_i) \\ (g_i) & (g_$

