

## Localisation and Patching

We discuss an important property of the functor of points  $X$ , from **CRing** to **Set** associated with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Affine scheme  $X$ .

### Universal property of $R[T]$

Given a homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  of commutative rings and an element  $t$  in  $S$ , we obtain a ring homomorphism  $g : R[T] \rightarrow S$  which sends  $T$  to  $t$ . Moreover,  $g(r) = f(r)$  for every element of  $R$ .

In fact, we can identify the set

$$\{h : R[T] \rightarrow S : h(r) = f(r)\}$$

with  $S$  by identification  $h \mapsto h(T)$ .

Put differently,

$$\text{Hom}(R[T], S) = \text{Hom}(R, S) \times S$$

Note that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a functor  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Aff}$  to **CRing**.

We also have the “forgetful functor” **CRing** to **Set** that “forgets” the ring structure. It associates a ring to the underlying set and a ring homomorphism to the underlying set map.

For a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Affine scheme  $X$ , then we have

$$\text{Mor}(X, A(x;)) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[x], \mathcal{O}(X)) = \mathcal{O}(X)$$

So  $\mathcal{O}$  can be identified with  $A(x;)$ !

## Localisation

Given a commutative ring  $R$  and an element  $u$  in  $R$ , we define

$$R_u = R[T]/\langle uT - 1 \rangle$$

which is called the *localisation* of  $R$  at  $u$ .

We note the following properties.

- If  $u$  is nilpotent, then  $R_u = \{0\}$  is the 0-ring.
- $u$  is invertible in  $R_u$  with the image  $t$  of  $T$  being the multiplicative inverse of  $u$ .
- Every element of  $R_u$  can be written in the form  $rt^k$  (or in other notation  $r/u^k$ ) for some  $r$  in  $R$  and  $k$  a non-negative integer.
- Elements  $r_1/u^{k_1}$  and  $r_2/u^{k_2}$  in  $R_u$  are equal if there is an integer  $k$  such that  $u^k(r_1u^{k_2} - r_2u^{k_1}) = 0$  in  $R$ .
- In particular, there is a natural ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R_u$  such that its kernel consists of elements annihilated by some power of  $u$ .

The ring  $R_u$  is called the *localisation* of  $R$  at  $u$ .

### Universal property of localisation

Given a commutative ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  so that  $f(u)$  is invertible in  $S$  with inverse  $t$ . As seen above, we can use  $t$  to extend  $f$  to a ring homomorphism  $R[T] \rightarrow S$  which sends  $T$  to  $t$ . Note that  $uT - 1$  is mapped to  $f(u)t - 1 = 0$ . So we have a homomorphism  $\tilde{f} : R_u \rightarrow S$ .

Conversely, given a homomorphism  $g : R_u \rightarrow S$ , let  $f$  denote the composite  $R \rightarrow R_u \rightarrow S$ . Since the image of  $u$  in  $R_u$  is invertible, so is  $f(u)$ .

In other words, a ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  *factors* as  $R \rightarrow R_u \rightarrow S$  if and only if  $f(u)$  is invertible in  $S$ .

### Localisation of Localisation

Given elements  $u$  and  $v$  in  $R$ , we consider the ring  $R_{uv}$ . Since  $uv$  has an inverse  $t$  in the latter ring, we have  $tuv = 1$  in  $R_{uv}$ . Hence, we see that  $tu$  is an inverse of  $v$  in this ring as well.

It follows that  $R \rightarrow R_{uv}$  factors as  $R \rightarrow R_v \rightarrow R_{uv}$ .

Similarly, since  $tv$  is an inverse of  $u$  in  $R_{uv}$ , we see that that  $R_v \rightarrow R_{uv}$  factors as  $R_v \rightarrow (R_v)_u \rightarrow R_{uv}$ .

Conversely, if  $w$  denotes the image of the inverse of  $v$  in  $R_v$  under  $R_v \rightarrow (R_v)_u$ , and  $z$  denotes the inverse of  $u$  in  $(R_v)_u$ , then  $wz$  is an inverse of  $uv$  in  $(R_v)_u$ .

This gives a ring homomorphism  $R_{uv} \rightarrow (R_v)_u$  which is the inverse of the above homomorphism.

Henceforth, we will treat these isomorphisms as *identity!*

In addition, we will identify the rings  $R_{u^2}$  and  $R_u$  for similar reasons.

### Patching

Given elements  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  in  $R$ , we have seen above that there are ring homomorphisms  $f_i : R \rightarrow R_{u_i}$  and  $g_{i,j} : R_{u_i} \rightarrow R_{u_i u_j}$ , for each  $i$  and  $j$ .

Suppose  $(r_i)_{i=1}^k$  is a collection of elements with  $r_i \in R_{u_i}$ . We can ask for a condition under which there is an element  $r$  in  $R$  such that  $f_i(r) = r_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Note that if  $r$  is in  $R$ , then  $g_{i,j}(f_i(r)) = g_{j,i}(f_j(r))$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Thus, a *necessary* condition is that

$$g_{i,j}(r_i) = g_{j,i}(r_j) \text{ for } i < j$$

The question is to identify a condition on  $(u_1, \dots, u_k)$  so that such an identity is sufficient in order to find an element  $r$  in  $R$ .

For  $i \neq j$ , we define  $s(i, j)$  to be 0 if  $i < j$  and 1 if  $i > j$ . We then have a sequence of *abelian groups*:

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{(f_i)_{i=1}^k} \bigoplus_{i=1}^k R_{u_i} \xrightarrow{((-1)^{s(i,j)} g_{i,j})_{i=1; j \neq i}^{k;k}} \bigoplus_{i=1; j > i}^{k-1; k} R_{u_i u_j}$$

It is a *complex* since the composite of successive homomorphisms is 0.

**Patching Lemma:** The above sequence is exact if the ideal  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is the unit ideal  $R$  in  $R$ .

This means that the first map identifies  $R$  with the kernel of the second map.

### Proof of Patching Lemma

Since  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle = R$  we have an identity  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i x_i$  for some elements  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  in  $R$ . Taking the  $nk$ -th power of this, we obtain an identity  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i^n t_i$  for some elements  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  in  $R$ . Hence, we have such an identity for every positive integer  $n$ .

First of all note that if  $f_i(r) = 0$ , then there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $u_i^n r = 0$ . It follows that if  $f_i(r) = 0$  for *all*  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , then (since there are finitely many  $i$ ) there is a common positive integer  $n$  such that  $u_i^n r = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Multiplying both sides of the above identity by  $r$ , we see that  $r = 0$ . This shows that  $R \rightarrow \bigoplus R_{u_i}$  is one-to-one.

Now suppose that we are given  $(r_i)_{i=1}^k$  which satisfies  $g_{i,j}(r_i) = g_{i,j}(r_j)$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ ; in other words, this tuple is in the kernel.

Each  $r_i$  is of the form  $s'_i / u_i^{n_i}$  for some element  $s'_i$  in  $R$  and non-negative integer  $n_i$ .

The given condition means that there is an  $m_{i,j}$  such that the following identity holds for *elements* of  $R$ :

$$(u_i u_j)^{m_{i,j}} r_i = (u_i u_j)^{m_{i,j}} r_j$$

Since there are finitely many  $i$  and  $j$ , we can choose a fixed  $n$  so that:

- $r_i = s_i / u_i^n$  for an element  $s_i$  in  $R$ , and
- $(u_i u_j)^n r_i = (u_i u_j)^n r_j$  as elements of  $R$ .

Note that this means that  $u_j^n s_i = u_i^n s_j$  as elements of  $R$ .

Multiplying the identity  $1 = \sum_{j=1}^k u_j^n t_j$  by  $s_i$ , we obtain an identity in  $R$ :

$$s_i = \sum_{j=1}^k s_i u_j^n t_j = \sum_{j=1}^k u_i^n s_j t_j = u_i^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^k s_j t_j \right)$$

Now consider the element  $r = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j t_j$  in  $R$ . By the above identity in  $R$ , we see that  $0 = s_i - u_i^n r$  in  $R$  which means that  $u_i^n r_i - u_i^n r = 0$  in  $R_{u_i}$ . By definition of equality in  $R_{u_i}$ , this means that  $r = r_i$  in  $R_{u_i}$  as required. This completes the proof of exactness.

### Patching homomorphisms

Given a commutative ring  $A$  and ring homomorphisms  $h_i : A \rightarrow R_{u_i}$  such that  $g_{i,j} \circ h_i = g_{j,i} \circ h_j$  we want to use the patching lemma to “lift” these uniquely a homomorphism  $h : A \rightarrow R$ .

We will use the following lemma from homological algebra:

**Left-exactness of  $\text{Hom}(T, -)$ :** Given an abelian group  $T$  and an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$$

The resulting sequence of abelian groups of homomorphisms

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, C)$$

is also exact.

In particular, we see that given homomorphisms  $h_i : A \rightarrow R_{u_i}$  satisfying  $g_{i,j} \circ h_i = g_{j,i} \circ h_j$  as above, there is a unique *group* homomorphism  $h : A \rightarrow R$  such that  $h_i = f_i \circ h$ . We need to check that this preserves multiplication.

We are given that  $h_i$  are ring homomorphisms for all  $i$ . Thus, given  $a$  and  $b$  in  $A$  we have  $h_i(a)h_i(b) = h_i(ab)$ . This means that  $h(a)h(b)$  and  $h(ab)$  have the same images under  $f_i$  for all  $i$ . As seen earlier, this means  $h(a)h(b) = h(ab)$ .

### Application to Schemes

Given a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -affine scheme  $X$  and a commutative ring  $R$ , the collection  $X(R)$  of  $R$ -points of  $X$  is identified with the set of ring homomorphisms  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(X), R)$ . Moreover, a ring homomorphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  induces a set map  $X(f) : X(R) \rightarrow X(S)$ .

We can therefore interpret the above result by replacing  $A$  by  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  as follows.

**Sheaf property of Schemes:** Given a commutative ring  $R$  and elements  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  which generate the unit ideal. Given  $R_{u_i}$ -points  $h_i$  of  $X$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that the image of  $h_i$  and  $h_j$  in  $X(R_{u_i u_j})$  are the same, there is a unique point  $h$  in  $X(R)$  so that  $h_i$  is its image in  $X(R_{u_i})$